

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/767,987 | 10/767,987 01/29/2004 | | Christopher J. Edge | 90058 | 5342 | |
| 1333 | 7590 | 08/25/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| PATENT I | | | LUU, MATTHEW | | | |
| EASTMAN 343 STATE | | COMPANY | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| ROCHEST | ER, NY | 14650-2201 | 3663 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/767,987 | EDGE, CHRISTOPHER J. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | LUU MATTHEW | 3663 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| Period fo | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju | ıne 2006. | | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 5-12 and 31-38 is/are pending in the a | application. | | | | | |
| · · | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | • | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) 5-12 and 31-38 are subject to restricti | on and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r . | | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | | Examiner. | | | | |
| ,— | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| A 44. • | 44.5 | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) [] Indeed down Com- | (DTO 442) | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/767,987

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon review of Applicant's amendment filed on June 16, 2006, it is noted that a restriction/election is warranted. Any inconvenience to Applicant is regretted.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 5-12, drawn to a process (a method), classified in class 382, subclass 167.
 - II. Claims 31-38, drawn to a product (a computer-readable medium), classified in class 358, subclass 518.
- Inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a digital camera color printer. In addition, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a process for transformation the XYZ color space into a RGB color space, and yice versa.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,987

Art Unit: 3663

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

(currently, no claims are generic):

5. Upon election of invention I or II, the applicant is further required under 35
U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable

A. Determining groups of chromaticity corrections comprises calculating correction factors corresponding to reach region of color that are piecewise linear correction functions.

- B. Determining chromaticity corrections comprises calculating a set of correction levels.
- 6. Upon election of invention A or B, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/767,987 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

(a) Wherein the device-independent coordinates in tristimulus space.

- (b) Wherein the device-independent coordinates in chromaticity space.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement (e.g., I, A and (a)), and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3663

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (571) 272-7663. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JACK KEITH can be reached on (571) 272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

MATTHEW LUU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Maly a